arXiv:1207.3621v1 [gr-qc] 16 Jul 2012

Does loop quantum cosmology replace the big rip singularity by a non-singular bounce?

Jaume de Haro[a,*]

17th July 2012

[a]Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada I, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, Diagonal 647, 08028 Barcelona, Spain

Abstract

It is stated that holonomy corrections in loop quantum cosmology introduce a modification in Friedmann's equation which prevent the big rip singularity. However in [1] it has been proved that this modified Friedmann equation is obtained in an inconsistent way, what means that the results deduced from it, in particular the big rip avoidance, are not justified. The problem is that holonomy corrections modify the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian of the system leading, after Legendre's transformation, to a non covariant Lagrangian which is in contradiction with one of the main principles of General Relativity. A more consistent way to deal with the big rip singularity avoidance is to disregard modification in the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian, and only consider inverse volume effects [2]. In this case we will see that, not like the big bang singularity, the big rip singularity survives in loop quantum cosmology.

Pacs numbers: 98.80.Qc, 04.20.Dw, 04.60.Pp, 04.20.Fy

1.- Introduction— Observations from the spacecraft *Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe* (WMAP) indicate that our universe would be dominated by "phantom energy". This fact would be explained assuming the presence of dark energy with a negative pressure, which could be modeled by a barotropic fluid with state equation $P = \omega\rho$, with $\omega = -1.10 \pm 0.14$ (see [3]). However, a universe containing dark energy modeled by equation $P = \omega\rho$ with $\omega < -1$ lead to a classical future singularity called *big rip* [4].

This singularity is at classical level, i.e., it appears solving the classical Raychaudury equation. But, if one took into account quantum effects it could be avoided, that is what happens in loop quantum cosmology where a good number of papers have

---

[*] E-mail: jaime.haro@upc.edu

shown that this singularity is replaced by a non-singular bounce (see for instance [5, 6, 7, 8, 9]).

The main idea is that loop quantum cosmology assumes a discrete nature of space, which leads, at quantum level, to consider a Hilbert space where quantum states are represented by almost periodic functions of the dynamical part of the connection. Unfortunately, the connection variable doesn't correspond to a well defined quantum operator in this Hilbert space, what leads to re-express the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian in terms of almost periodic function, which could be done from a process of regularization. This new regularized Hamiltonian, which contains the quantum geometric effects, introduce a quadratic modification (ρ^2) in the Friedmann equation at high energies which give rise to a bounce when the energy density becomes equal to a critical value of the order of the Planck energy density.

Recently, we have shown in [1] that the regularized Hamiltonian, after Legendre's transformation, gives rise to a non-covariant Lagrangian, which contradicts the main principles of General Relativity. This means that the modified Friedmann, which is obtained from this regularized Hamiltonian, is incorrect. Thus, the current statement that, in loop quantum cosmology, the big rip singularity is replaced by a non-singular bounce, is wrong.

To sort out this situation, it seem clair that one cannot change the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian (or it has to be changed by another one that doesn't lead to a non covariant Lagrangian), which means to disregard the Hilbert space of almost periodic functions. In this way we review the early Bojowald's work where the author only takes into account inverse volume correction in the energy density. These corrections lead to a new modified Friedmann equation where the big bang singularity is avoided, but the big rip one survives. Unfortunately, to maintain, at the same time, the discrete nature of the space and the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian it seem that one has to choose a Hilbert space where the Hubble parameter, or the connection, takes values on a circle rather in the real line, which seems, from a physical viewpoint, an unjustified assumption.

The units used in the paper are $\hbar = c = M_p = 1$ being $M_p = \left(\frac{\hbar c}{8\pi G}\right)^{1/2}$ the reduced Planck mass.

2.- Classical cosmology and the big rip singularity— For the flat FRW space-time filled by a perfect fluid with state equation $P = \omega\rho$ (being $\omega < -1$), Einstein theory is obtained from the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}Ra^3 - \rho a^3$ where $R = 6(\dot{H} + 2H^2)$ is the scalar curvature, a is the scale factor and $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter.

This Lagrangian can be written as follows $\mathcal{L} = 3\left(\frac{d(\dot{a}a^2)}{dt} - \dot{a}^2 a\right) - \rho a^3$, this means that the same theory is obtained avoiding the total derivative, which gives the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_E = -3H^2a^3 - \rho a^3$. The conjugate momentum is then given by $p = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_E}{\partial \dot{a}} = -6Ha^2$, and thus the Hamiltonian is

$$\mathcal{H}_E = \dot{a}p - \mathcal{L}_E = -3H^2a^3 + \rho a^3. \tag{1}$$

In general relativity the Hamiltonian is constrained to be zero which gives the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = \rho/3. \tag{2}$$

This equation with the conservation equation

$$d(\rho a^3) = -Pd(a^3) \Longleftrightarrow \dot{\rho} = -3H(1+\omega)\rho, \tag{3}$$

are the dynamical equations that describe the evolution of our universe.

Taking the derivative of the Friedmann equation with respect to the time and using the conservation equation one gets the so-called Raychaudury equation

$$\dot{H} = -\frac{3}{2}(1+\omega)H^2, \tag{4}$$

that together with the Friedmann equation give the solution

$$H(t) = \frac{2}{3(1+\omega)}\frac{1}{t-t_{rip}} \qquad \rho(t) = \frac{4}{3(1+\omega)^2}\frac{1}{(t-t_s)^2}, \tag{5}$$

where $t_{rip} \equiv t_0 - \frac{2}{3H_0(1+\omega)}$ is the time at which the big rip is reached, and $H_0 = H(t_0)$ is the current value of the Hubble parameter.

Since observations from WMAP give the approximate values $H_0 \cong 2.3 \times 10^{-18}\frac{1}{second}$ and $\omega \cong -1,1$, this means that the time from the present to the big rip is approximately 90 billion years.

3.- Loop quantum cosmology— The old quantization of loop quantum cosmology was done using two canonically conjugate variables, one of them was the dynamical part of the connection, namely $\mathfrak{c}$, and the other one was the dynamical part of the triad, namely $\mathfrak{p}$. These variables are related with the scalar factor and the extrinsic curvature $K = \frac{1}{2}\dot{a}$ by the relations (see for instance [10])

$$|\mathfrak{p}| = a^2, \qquad \mathfrak{c} = 2\gamma K = \gamma\dot{a}, \tag{6}$$

where γ is the Barbero-Immirzi parameter, and their Poisson bracket is given by $\{\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{p}\} = \frac{\partial\mathfrak{c}}{\partial a}\frac{\partial\mathfrak{p}}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial\mathfrak{c}}{\partial p}\frac{\partial\mathfrak{p}}{\partial a} = \frac{\gamma}{3}\text{sgn}(\mathfrak{p})$.

Alternatively, one can introduce the new canonically conjugate variables [11, 12]:

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\mathfrak{c}}{|\mathfrak{p}|^{1/2}} = \gamma H, \qquad |\mathcal{V}| = |\mathfrak{p}|^{3/2} = a^3, \tag{7}$$

with Poisson bracket $\{\beta, \mathcal{V}\} = \frac{\gamma}{2}\text{sgn}(\mathcal{V})$.

To built the quantum theory, in LQC, is usual to choice as a Hilbert space, the quotient space to the Besicovitch space of almost periodic functions by its subspace of null functions. The Besicovitch space (see for details [13]) is the closure of trigonometric polynomials under the semi-norm (in the $\mathfrak{c}$-representation)

$$||\Psi||^2 = \lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L}\int_{-L}^{L} |\Psi(\mathfrak{c})|^2 d\mathfrak{c},$$

where $\mathfrak{c}$ is the connection. And an element of this space as the expansion

$$\Psi(\mathfrak{c}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n|\mu_n\rangle \equiv \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n e^{i\mu_n \mathfrak{c}/2},$$

with $\mu_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha_n \in \mathfrak{l}^2$ (the space of square-summable sequences).

Remark 0.1. *It is important to realize that* $||.||$ *is a semi-norm. For example, all continuous functions with compact support have zero norm.*

In this space on can define the operator $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ as follows $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = -\frac{i\gamma}{3}\frac{d}{d\mathfrak{c}}$. However the operator $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ defined by $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}\Psi(\mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{c}\Psi(\mathfrak{c})$ is not well defined in this Hilbert space because for a general quantum state $\Psi(\mathfrak{c}) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n e^{i\mu_n \mathfrak{c}/2}$ one has

$$||\hat{\mathfrak{c}}\Psi||^2 = \lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{L^2}{3}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} |\alpha_n|^2 = +\infty.$$

Note that, one can also use the β representation, where now the semi-norm is

$$||\Psi||^2 = \lim_{L\to\infty} \frac{1}{2L}\int_{-L}^{L} |\Psi(\beta)|^2 d\beta,$$

and the expansion of quantum states is

$$\Psi(\beta) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n|\nu_n\rangle \equiv \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n e^{i\nu_n \beta/2}. \tag{8}$$

In this representation the operator $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is given by $-\frac{i\gamma}{2}\frac{d}{d\beta}$, but $\hat{\beta}$, for the same reason as $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$, is not well-defined.

Now the volume operator, namely $\hat{V}$, is given by $\hat{V} \equiv |\hat{\mathcal{V}}|$, and act as follows:

$$\hat{V}|\nu\rangle = \frac{\gamma}{4}|\nu||\nu\rangle.$$

Remark 0.2. *It is common, in loop quantum cosmology, to use the* ν*-representation that could be defined as follows:*

$$\Psi(\nu) \equiv \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(\beta)e^{-i\nu\beta/2}d\beta.$$

Then, for a general state defined by (8) one has $\Psi(\nu) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \alpha_n\delta(\nu-\nu_n)$.

The operator $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$, in this representation, acts as follows:

$$\hat{\mathcal{V}}\Psi(\nu) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} -\frac{i\gamma}{2}\frac{d\Psi(\beta)}{d\beta}e^{-i\nu\beta/2}d\beta = \frac{\gamma}{4}\nu\Psi(\nu),$$

where we have used integration by parts. And thus, $\hat{V}\Psi(\nu) = \frac{\gamma}{4}|\nu|\Psi(\nu)$.

Finally, the operator $\widehat{e^{i\sigma\beta}}$ acts as follows:

$$\widehat{e^{i\sigma\beta}}\Psi(\nu) = \frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\sigma\beta}\Psi(\beta)e^{-i\nu\beta/2}d\beta = \Psi(\nu - 2\sigma).$$

We can also define negative powers of $\hat{V}$ in the following way: First we use the formula

$$|\mathcal{V}|^{-1/2} = \frac{4i}{\lambda\gamma}e^{i\lambda\beta}\{e^{-i\lambda\beta}, |\mathcal{V}|^{1/2}\},$$

where λ is a parameter with dimensions of length, which is determined invoking the quantum nature of the geometry, that is, identifying its square with the minimum eigenvalue of the area operator in LQG, which gives as a result $\lambda \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}\gamma}$ (see [12]).

Then, from this formula, we define the operator $\widehat{|\mathcal{V}|^{-1/2}}$, which applied to the eigenstate $|\nu\rangle$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{|\mathcal{V}|^{-1/2}}|\nu\rangle &= \frac{2}{\lambda\gamma}\mathrm{sgn}(\mathcal{V})\left(\widehat{e^{i\lambda\beta}}[\widehat{e^{-i\lambda\beta}}, |\hat{\mathcal{V}}|^{1/2}] + [\widehat{e^{-i\lambda\beta}}, |\hat{\mathcal{V}}|^{1/2}]\widehat{e^{i\lambda\beta}}\right)|\nu\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\gamma}}||\nu + 2\lambda|^{1/2} - |\nu - 2\lambda|^{1/2}||\nu\rangle. \qquad (9)\end{aligned}$$

Note that for large values of $|\nu|$ one has

$$\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\gamma}}||\nu + 2\lambda|^{1/2} - |\nu - 2\lambda|^{1/2}|| \cong \frac{2}{\sqrt{\gamma}}|\nu|^{-1/2},$$

which is the inverse of the square-root of the eigenvalue of the volume operator. And for small values of ν on has

$$\frac{1}{\lambda\sqrt{\gamma}}||\nu + 2\lambda|^{1/2} - |\nu - 2\lambda|^{1/2}|| \cong \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\gamma}}\frac{|\nu|}{\lambda^{3/2}},$$

which vanishes at $\nu = 0$.

Remark 0.3. *Note that there is an ambiguity in this procedure because one could have started with $|\mathcal{V}|^{-j}$ where $j \in (0, 1)$ in place of $|\mathcal{V}|^{-1/2}$ (see [11]).*

Here it appears a very important problem, because in the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian it appears β or $\mathfrak{c}$. In fact, one has

$$\mathcal{H}_{grav} = -3H^2a^3 = -\frac{3}{\gamma^2}\beta^2|\mathcal{V}| = -\frac{3}{\gamma^2}\mathfrak{c}^2|\mathfrak{p}|^{1/2},$$

and since the operators $\hat{\beta}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ are not well-defined, in order to built the quantum theory, one needs to re-define the Hamiltonian. To be precise, we will work in the β representation and first at all we consider the holonomies $h_j(\lambda) \equiv e^{-i\frac{\lambda\beta}{2}\sigma_j}$, where σ_j are the Pauli matrices.

Then, since β^2 doesn't have a well-defined quantum operator, to construct a consistent quantum Hamiltonian operator, one needs one almost periodic function that approaches β^2 for small values of β. This can be done using the general formulae of loop quantum gravity to obtain the regularized Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{LQG} \equiv -\frac{2|\mathcal{V}|}{\gamma^3\lambda^3}\sum_{i,j,k}\varepsilon^{ijk}Tr\,\Big[h_i(\lambda)h_j(\lambda)h_i^{-1}(\lambda)\\ \times h_j^{-1}(\lambda)h_k(\lambda)\{h_k^{-1}(\lambda),|\mathcal{V}|\}\Big] + \rho|\mathcal{V}|,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

which captures the underlying loop quantum dynamics (see [10, 14]). Note that, this Hamiltonian admits a quantum version because the operators $\hat{h}_j(\lambda)$ are well defined in the Hilbert space.

4.- Effective dynamics— Coming back to the regularized Hamiltonian (10), an easy calculation gives [15, 16]

$$\mathcal{H}_{LQC} = -3|\mathcal{V}|\frac{\sin^2(\lambda\beta)}{\gamma^2\lambda^2} + \rho|\mathcal{V}|. \tag{11}$$

Now, the Hamiltonian constraint is then given by $\frac{\sin^2(\lambda\beta)}{\gamma^2\lambda^2} = \frac{\rho}{3}$, and the Hamiltonian equation gives the following identity:

$$\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H}_{LQC}\} = -\frac{\gamma}{2}\frac{\partial\mathcal{H}_{LQC}}{\partial\beta}\text{sgn}(\mathcal{V}) \Longleftrightarrow \tag{12}$$

$$H = \frac{\sin(2\lambda\beta)}{2\gamma\lambda} \Longleftrightarrow \beta = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\arcsin(2\lambda\gamma H). \tag{13}$$

Writing this last equation as follows $H^2 = \frac{\sin^2(\lambda\beta)}{\gamma^2\lambda^2}(1-\sin^2(\lambda\beta))$ and using the Hamiltonian constraint $\mathcal{H}_{LQC} = 0 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\sin^2(\lambda\beta)}{\gamma^2\lambda^2} = \frac{\rho}{3}$ one obtains the following modified Friedmann equation in loop quantum cosmology

$$H^2 = \frac{\rho}{3}\left(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_c}\right), \tag{14}$$

being $\rho_c \equiv \frac{3}{\gamma^2\lambda^2}$. This equation with the conservation equation $\dot{\rho} = -3H(1+\omega)\rho$ gives the dynamics of the universe in loop quantum cosmology.

Integrating these equations one can obtain that if the current energy density of our universe is $\rho(t_0) = \rho_0$, then the dynamics of the universe will be given by

$$\rho(t) = \left(\frac{3}{4}(1+\omega)^2(\bar{t}-t)^2 + \frac{1}{\rho_c}\right)^{-1}, \qquad H(t) = \frac{1+\omega}{2}(t-\bar{t})\rho(t) \tag{15}$$

where $\bar{t} = t_0 - \frac{2\sqrt{1-\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_c}}}{\sqrt{3}(1+\omega)\sqrt{\rho_0}}$. This solution is defined for all time and it finishes at $(H = 0, \rho = 0)$. The main property of this solution is that the universe is expanding phase until time $t = \bar{t}$. At this time it bounces and re-collapses forever and ever.

It is clear that the non-singular behavior described by equation (15), is very different to the classical one (5), where the universe presents a big rip singularity.

However, as we have stated above, loop quantum cosmology could be built using two canonically conjugate variables, $(\mathcal{V}, \beta)$ which are related with the standard variables through the relations $|\mathcal{V}| = a^3$ and $\beta = \gamma H$ (see for instance formulas 2.1 and 2.2 of [17]). However, if one starts directly from the effective Hamiltonian (11), although it is assumed that $|\mathcal{V}| = a^3$, the definition of the variable β is obtained from the equation $\dot{\mathcal{V}} = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{H}_{LQC}\}$, which gives $\beta = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\arcsin(2\lambda\gamma H)$ and differs from the initial definition of β. Consequently, if one takes $|\mathcal{V}| = a^3$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2\lambda}\arcsin(2\lambda\gamma H)$ as a canonically conjugate variables, then the standard variables $(a, p = -6Ha^2)$ do not remain canonically conjugate, because now

$$\begin{aligned}\{a, p\} \equiv \frac{\gamma}{2}\left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial \beta}\frac{\partial p}{\partial \mathcal{V}} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial \beta}\frac{\partial a}{\partial \mathcal{V}}\right)\mathrm{sgn}(\mathcal{V}) = \cos(2\lambda\beta) \\ = \sqrt{1 - 4\gamma^2\lambda^2H^2} \neq \text{constant}. \qquad (16)\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it's well-known that the other current cosmological theories are built from two invariant, the scalar curvature $R = 6\left(\dot{H} + 2H^2\right)$ and the Gauss-Bonnet curvature invariant $G = 24H^2\left(\dot{H} + H^2\right)$ (note that the Weyl tensor vanishes for FRW cosmologies). For example, in Gauss-Bonnet gravity [18] it is used the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{MG} = a^3 f(R, G) - a^3\rho$, and semiclassical gravity, when one takes into account the quantum effects due a massless conformally coupled field (see for instance [19]), is based in the trace anomaly $T_{vac} = \alpha\Box R - \frac{\beta}{2}G$ (being $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta < 0$ two re-normalization coefficients). However, from the Legendre transformation $\mathcal{H}_{LQC} = -\frac{2}{\gamma}\mathrm{sgn}(\mathcal{V})\dot{\mathcal{V}}\beta - \mathcal{L}_{LQC}$ one gets, in terms of the standard variables, the following Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{LQC} = -\frac{3a^3H}{\gamma\lambda}\arcsin(2\lambda\gamma H) + \frac{3a^3}{2\gamma^2\lambda^2}\left(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\gamma^2\lambda^2H^2}\right) - a^3\rho, \quad (17)$$

which is not invariant. This is in disagreement with one of the main principles of general relativity.

From these observations, one can conclude that using the Hamiltonian (11), some contradictions like the variables (a, p) are not canonically conjugates or that the Lagrangian is not invariant will appear. In particular, the modified Friedmann equation was obtained assuming that (11) is the Hamiltonian of the system, and thus, one can conclude that this equation has been obtained in an incorrect way.

The question is, why one uses this Hamiltonian in LQC? The answer seems clear: since the operator $\hat{\beta}^2$ is not defined in the Besicovitch space of almost periodic functions on has the replace the standard Hamiltonian by another built with variables that admit a well-defined quantum operator in this space. However, another viewpoint is possible, one can use a different Hilbert space where $\hat{\beta}^2$ was well-defined. One of these space was introduced by Bojowald in [20, 21], it was $\mathcal{L}^2(SU(2), d\mu_H)$ the space of functions of isotropic connections which are square integrable with respect to Haar mesure. Essentially, this space, in the $\mathfrak{c}$ representation, is the clause of continuous functions with the norm

$$||\Psi||^2 = \int_0^{4\pi} |\Psi(\mathfrak{c})|^2 \sin^2(\mathfrak{c}/2) d\mathfrak{c},$$

and an orthonormal basis is the usual Fourier one, $|n\rangle = \frac{e^{in\mathfrak{c}/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi}\sin(\mathfrak{c}/2)}$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In this case the operator $\hat{\mathfrak{p}} = -\frac{i\gamma}{3}\left(\frac{d}{d\mathfrak{c}} + \frac{1}{2}\cot(\mathfrak{c}/2)\right)$, which eigenfunctions are $|n\rangle$, has eigenvalues $p_n = \frac{\gamma}{6}n$, the volume operator has eigenvalues

$$V_{(n-1)/2} = \left(\frac{\gamma}{6}\right)^{3/2} \sqrt{(n-1)n(n+1)},$$

and the operator $\hat{\mathfrak{c}}$ is well defined. In this case the eigenvalues of the inverse volume operator are difficult to calculate, but they can be approximated by [2]

$$d_{j,n} \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{cll} \frac{12^6}{7^6} V^{-1}_{(n-1)/2} \left(\frac{n}{2j}\right)^{15/2} & \text{when} & n \ll 2j \\ V^{-1}_{(n-1)/2} & \text{when} & n \gg 2j, \end{array} \right. \tag{18}$$

where $j \in \mathbb{N}/2$ is an ambiguity parameter.

Now to obtain the effective Friedmann equation we use Bojowald's ideas (see for instance, [2, 22]): We identify the eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathfrak{p}}$ with a^2 to obtain the relation $a^2 = \frac{\gamma}{6}n$, then the eigenvalues of the inverse volume operator, as functions of a, can be written as follows:

$$d_j(a) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{cll} \frac{12^6}{7^6} \left(\frac{3}{\gamma j}\right)^{15/2} a^{12} & \text{when} & a^2 \ll \gamma j/3 \\ a^{-3} & \text{when} & a^2 \gg \gamma j/3. \end{array} \right. \tag{19}$$

Then we change the Friedmann equation

$$H^2 = \rho/3 \Longleftrightarrow \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3}\rho_0 \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{-3(1+\omega)},$$

by the effective Friedmann equation

$$\frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} = \frac{1}{3}\rho_0 \left(\frac{1}{a_0}\right)^{-3(1+\omega)} d_j^{1+\omega}(a).$$

This equation coincides with the classical Friedmann one for large values of a, and thus, the big rip singularity ($\omega < -1$) is not avoided because it occurs when $a \to \infty$.

On the other hand, when ($\omega > -1$) there is a big bang singularity, which occurs when $a \to 0$. In this case, near the singularity, the modified Friedmann equation models the classical one, but with the continuity equations $P = \omega_{eff}\rho$ where now $\omega_{eff} = -5-4\omega < -1$ because $\omega > -1$, which means that the big bang singularity is avoided.

To finish, note that all these calculation can be done in an easier way using the β-representation assuming that β takes values in a circle. For example, choosing as a Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(-A, A)$, the operator $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is given by $-\frac{i\gamma}{2}\frac{d}{d\beta}$ and then, the states $|n\rangle \equiv \frac{e^{i\pi\beta n/A}}{\sqrt{2A}}$ are orthonormal eigenfunctions with eigenvalues $\frac{\pi\gamma n}{2A}$. The parameter A could be determined identifying $\frac{\pi\gamma}{2A}$ with λ^3, which gives $A = \frac{\pi\gamma}{2\lambda^3} = \frac{4\pi}{\sqrt{\gamma}3^{3/4}}$. The eigenvalues of the volume and inverse volume operators are respectively $V_n = \lambda^3|n|$ and $d_n = \frac{1}{\lambda^2\gamma}||\frac{4\lambda^3 n}{\gamma} + 2\lambda|^{1/2} - |\frac{4\lambda^3 n}{\gamma} - 2\lambda|^{1/2}||^2$, then, performing the identification $a^3 = \lambda^3 n$ one gets

$$d(a) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{8}{\gamma^3\lambda^3}a^6 & \text{when} \quad a^3 \ll \gamma\lambda/2 \\ a^{-3} & \text{when} \quad a^3 \gg \gamma\lambda/2, \end{array} \right. \tag{20}$$

and now the modified Friedmann equation, when $\omega > -1$, near the singularity models the classical one with the continuity equations $P = \omega_{eff}\rho$ where $\omega_{eff} = -3 - 2\omega < -1$, and thus, the big bang singularity is avoided, but not the big rip one.

Remark 0.4. *Other boundary conditions are possible in bounded domains, for example, homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions (physically it means that the Hubble parameter, or the connection, are into an infinite square well, which of course, does not have any physical basis). In this case, zero is not a eigenvalue of the volume, which allows us to define, without any ambiguity, the eigenvalues of the inverse volume operator as the inverse of the eigenvalues of the volume operator.*

The problem in this formulation is that one has assumed that the values of the connection, or the Hubble parameter, belong in a circle rather in the real line (recall that in bounded domains a well-defined differential operator needs boundary conditions, in this case they have been assumed periodic, which means that the variable takes values in a circle). This assumption has no physical basis and for this reason it was left aside and it emerged the idea that the Besicovitch space could be the new Hilbert space in LQC. However as we have seen throughout the paper, this Hilbert space requires a new definition of the Hamiltonian operator, and the one used in LQC doesn't seem to be the correct one, because his classical analogue, after Lengendre's transformation, gives rise to a non covariant Lagrangian. Moreover, using this Hamiltonian one obtains a modified Friedmann equations where the Hubble parameter is once again constrained to be bounded, and what is worse, it doesn't appear any higher-curvature term, like derivatives of the Hubble parameter, which are essential in modified or semiclassical cosmology.

A final remark is in order: In Quantum Gravity one usually follows the Dirac quantization scheme, that applied to cosmology leads to the well-known Wheeler-DeWitt equation. Appart from the unsolved problem of time, in LQC for the gravitational part of the Hamiltonian is used the quantum version of (10), which as you have seen troughout the paper gives rise, at classical level, to a non covariant Lagrangian. Then, all the conclusion obtained from this equation, particulary bounces (see for example [23]), need a deep revision.

5.- Conclusions— Our main conclusion is that in loop quantum cosmology, contrary to the current belief, the big rip singularity is not replaced by a non-singular bounce. What really happens is the theory is built from a Hamiltonian that, after a Legendre's transformation, gives a non covariant Lagrangian which is in contradiction with the principles of General Gravity. Then, all the conclusions obtained from this Hamiltonian, in particular the big rip singularity, will be wrong. Another way to understand that the big rip singularity must survive in loop quantum cosmology is the fact that this theory is based in the discrete nature of the space, which could influence the dynamics of our universe at small distances (at the order of Planck scale), but never at large distances where the discrete space could be subtituted by a continuous one, and thus, near the big rip the dynamics of the universe is unaffected by loop quantum corrections.

Acknowledgments. This investigation has been supported in part by MICINN (Spain), projects MTM2011-27739-C04-01, and by AGAUR (Generalitat de Catalunya), contract 2009SGR-345.

References

[1] J. Haro, JCAP **07**, 007 (2012).

[2] M. Bojowald, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 261301 (2002).

[3] E. Kamatsu et al., Astrophys. J. Suppl. Ser. **192**, 18 (2011).

[4] R.R. Caldwell, M. Kamionkowski and N.N. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 071301 (2003).

[5] P. Singh, K. Vanderslot and G.V. Vereshchagin, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 043510 (2006).

[6] M. Sami, P. Singh and S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. **D74**, 043514 (2006).

[7] T. Naskar and J. Ward, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 063514 (2007).

[8] D. Smart and B. Gumjudpai, Phys. Rev. **D76**, 043514 (2007).

[9] P. Singh, Class. Quantum Grav. **26**, 125005 (2009).

[10] A. Ashtekar, M. Bojowald and J. Lewandowski, Adv. Theor. Math. **7**, 233 (2003).

[11] A. Ashtekar and P. Singh, Class. Quantum Grav. **29**, 213001 (2011).

[12] P. Singh, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **140**, 012005 (2009).

[13] A.S. Besicovith, *Almost periodic functions*, Cambridge University Press (1954).

[14] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh , Phys. Rev. **D74**, 084003 (2006).

[15] J. Haro and E. Elizalde, EPL **89**, 69001 (2010).

[16] P. Dzierzak, P. Malkiewicz and W. Piechocki, Phys. Rev. **D80**, 104001 (2009).

[17] A. Ashtekar, C. Corichi and P. Singh , Phys. Rev. **D77**, 024046 (2008).

[18] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. **D73**, 084007 (2006).

[19] J. Haro, J. Amoros and E. Elizalde, Phys. Rev. **D83**, 123528 (2011).

[20] M. Bojowald, Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 2717 (2002).

[21] M. Bojowald, Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 1509 (2000).

[22] M. Bojowald, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **24**, 77 (2005).

[23] A. Ashtekar, T. Pawlowski and P. Singh , Phys. Rev. **D73**, 124038 (2006).